

Report No. STAN-CS-87-1169  
Also numbered KSL-85-32

**AD-A186 994**

**Applying a Qualitative Modeling Shell  
to Process Diagnosis:  
The Caster System**

by

Timothy F. Thompson and William J. Clancey

**Department of Computer Science**

Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

DTIC  
ELECTE  
DEC 14 1987  
S C7 H D



**DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A**

Approved for public release  
Distribution Unlimited

## SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

## REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION <b>UNCLASSIFIED</b>		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS	
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT <b>APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE, DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED</b>	
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) <b>STAN-CS-87-1169 or KSL-85-32</b>		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) <b>ONR TECHNICAL REPORT # 16</b>	
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION <b>STANFORD KNOWLEDGE SYSTEMS LABORATORY</b>	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable)	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION <b>PERSONNEL AND TRAINING RESEARCH PROGRAMS</b>	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) <b>COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT 701 WELCH ROAD, BUILDING C PALO ALTO, CA 94304</b>		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) <b>OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH (CODE 1142PT) 800 NORTH QUINCY STREET ARLINGTON, VA 22217-5000</b>	
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (if applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER <b>N00014-85K-0305</b>	
9c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS	
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. <b>61153N</b>	PROJECT NO. <b>RR04206</b>
		TASK NO. <b>OC</b>	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO <b>NR702-003</b>
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) <b>APPLYING A QUALITATIVE MODELING SHELL TO PROCESS DIAGNOSIS: THE CASTER SYSTEM</b>			
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) <b>TIMOTHY F. THOMPSON, WESTINGHOUSE R&amp;D CENTER, WILLIAM CLANCEY, STANFORD UNIVERSITY</b>			
13a. TYPE OF REPORT <b>TECHNICAL</b>	13b. TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____	14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) <b>MARCH 1986</b>	15. PAGE COUNT <b>45</b>
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION <b>KSL 85-32; APPEARED IN IEEE/SOFTWARE 3(2):6:15, MARCH 1986.</b>			
17. COSATI CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) <b>PROCESS MODELING, EXPERT SYSTEM SHELL, CLASSIFICATION, DIAGNOSIS, SAND-CASTING</b>		
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) <b>REMOVING THE KNOWLEDGE BASE FROM A MEDICAL DIAGNOSIS SYSTEM, BUT RETAINING THE DIAGNOSTIC PROCEDURE, LED TO A REUSABLE EXPERT SYSTEM SHELL. THIS PAPER EVALUATES AN APPLICATION TO SANDCASTING.</b>			
20. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS		21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION <b>UNCLASSIFIED</b>	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL <b>DR. SUSAN CHIPMAN</b>		22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) <b>(202) 696-4318</b>	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL <b>ONR 1142PT</b>

**Applying a Qualitative Modeling Shell  
to Process Diagnosis:  
The Caster System**

by

**Timothy F. Thompson, Westinghouse R&D Center  
William J. Clancey, Stanford University**

**Stanford Knowledge Systems Laboratory  
Department of Computer Science  
701 Welch Road, Building C  
Palo Alto, CA 94304**

The studies reported here were supported (in part) by:

**The Office of Naval Research  
Personnel and Training Research Programs  
Psychological Sciences Division  
Contract No. N00014-85K-0305**

**The Josiah Macy, Jr. Foundation  
Grant No. B852005  
New York City**

**The views and conclusions contained in this document are the authors'  
and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official  
policies, either expressed or implied of the Office of Naval Research  
or the U.S. Government.**

**Approved for public release: distribution unlimited. Reproduction in  
whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States  
Government.**

RECORDED  
10/25/85

<b>Accession For</b>	
NTIS GRA&I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
DTIC TAB <input type="checkbox"/>	
Unannounced <input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Justification</b>	
<b>By</b> _____	
<b>Distribution/</b> _____	
<b>Availability Codes</b>	
<b>Dist</b>	<b>Avail and/or Special</b>
R-1	

The purpose of knowledge engineering is to develop partial qualitative models for solving practical problems. These models--called knowledge bases in expert systems--must have appropriate diagnostic knowledge to deal with the real-world problems.

In general, solutions to diagnostic problems can be either selected from a set of pre-enumerated alternatives (for known conditions) or constructed (for novel problems or those that combine multiple, interacting disorders in an unforeseen way). While engineering design is often thought of as a constructive problem-solving process, diagnosis is typically thought of as a selection or classification problem. But the solution method is not inherent in the task itself. Instead, it depends on the problem solver's previous knowledge, requirements for customization, and the like.

For example, engineering design may involve selection from among competing possible designs (especially in the case of the experienced designer), and diagnosis sometimes requires consideration of the system's structure and function. [8]

Nevertheless, useful programs can be developed that solve diagnostic problems by selection alone. We believe that starting with a well-defined classification procedure and a relational language for stating the classification model eases the development of a program that diagnoses by selection. To test this thesis, we built an expert system, called Caster, that addresses a particular diagnostic problem: malfunctions in industrial sandcasting. Our goal was to demonstrate that these control structures, developed for a medical diagnosis problem, are general and applicable to engineering applications.

Caster uses the Heracles qualitative modeling environment, a shell generalized from the Neomycin medical diagnosis system. Heracles provides a well-defined diagnostic

procedure that structures inference according to a pattern called heuristic classification, and it provides a relational language to state knowledge of taxonomies of malfunctions and abnormal state transitions. [5]

### History

The Mycin system, [11] developed in the mid-1970s, showed that it was possible for a computer to diagnose and treat infectious diseases with the accuracy of a human expert. [2] Subsequent research has sought to improve understanding of the nature of domain knowledge and the expert's inference process. One line of research resulted in Neomycin, a reconfigured and extended version of the original Mycin system. [4]

Neomycin's principled representation of domain knowledge is in terms of disease taxonomies, causal networks of abnormal states, and hierarchies of findings such as measurements, general observations, and disease symptoms. Its design also explicitly represents control strategy so that the system can articulate its diagnostic strategy to users, and so that, in a tutorial setting, the system has some basis for recognizing the logic behind student behavior. [4]

Consequently, Neomycin's knowledge base consists of both domain-level rules about medical disorders and control rules that express techniques to select and apply domain rules as human experts would. For efficiency, these control rules can be precompiled into procedural code and can be interpreted by an explanation facility to teach the system's diagnostic strategy.

Neomycin's control knowledge (a rule set that heuristically classifies findings,

abnormal states, and diseases) evolved concurrently with its domain-specific knowledge base. The set of control rules developed for Neomycin has evolved into a cohesive whole characterized as heuristic classification. Knowledge engineers have used this control strategy in several expert systems, including Mycin, Puff, Sacon, the Drilling Advisor, Grundy, and Sophie III. The strategy was *not* explicitly represented, so the systems could not reason about their actions.

This is the crucial difference between Heracles and, for example, Emycin, which does not have a heuristic classification control strategy built in. Thus, Emycin users implicitly build a heuristic classification control strategy into their domain rules, and every system designer (knowledge engineer) must repeat this task. Clearly, this is a significant obstacle to efficient knowledge acquisition -- one Heracles can overcome.

### **Heuristic classification**

Simple classification problem-solving involves selecting from a set of pre-enumerated solutions. These solutions are often organized hierarchically, and classification consists of matching observations about an unknown entity against features of known solutions.

In heuristic classification, solutions and solution features may be matched *heuristically*, by direct, nonhierarchical association with some concept in another classification hierarchy. For example, Mycin does more than identify an unknown organism in terms of visible features of an organism: Mycin heuristically relates an abstract characterization of the patient to a classification of diseases.

Figure 1 shows the inference structure schematically. It shows that heuristic

classification consists, essentially, of data abstraction, heuristic match, and hypothesis refinement. Basic observations about the target system are abstracted into feature categories according to definitional relationships, qualitative relationships between numeric measurements and nonnumeric conceptual descriptions, and generalizations between classes of findings and their subtypes.

**Inferential leap.** From this hierarchy of data abstractions, the heuristic classification problem solver makes a great inferential leap via heuristic or causal relations to a hierarchy of solution classes. A heuristic relation is uncertain, often derived empirically from the problem solver's (such as a physician's) experience.

A heuristic relation typically takes the place of a network of causal relations between problem features and solutions because the causal relations are unobservable, poorly understood, or invariant for most cases. After a heuristic match or causal propagation suggests a general malfunction hypothesis, the hypothesis must be refined according to the distinctions relevant to fixing the target problem (that is, prescribing therapy).

**Refinement goal.** The goal of refinement is to confirm or rule out competing specializations of the general hypothesis at each level in the malfunction taxonomy by requesting specific findings or tests. The result of this refinement is the specific cause of the general malfunction.

For example, if a heuristic match suggests a general hypothesis (solution class), a heuristic classification system begins to explore and refine the classification by replacing the hypothesis on the system's current list of potential malfunctions (called the differential) with known subtypes. The system tries to gather more evidence to confirm

or rule out each competing hypothesis. The system repeats the refinement phase for each subtype.

The classification hierarchies are not always trees, as generally portrayed for simplicity in this article. They may be "tangled" structures with some concepts having multiple parents. While it is convenient to think of heuristic classification as ordered steps of data abstraction, heuristic match, and hypothesis refinement, the heuristic classification model actually makes no claims about the execution order.

Depending on the needs of the application, the diagnostic procedure can be organized to reason, for example, either forward from observations to solutions or backward by hypothesizing solutions and then asking for findings that either confirm or refute the hypotheses.

The heuristic classification strategy implemented in Heracles is opportunistic: The system pursues lines of reasoning as they are suggested by new findings. The system simply does not do all the data abstraction, then all the heuristic matching, and then all the hypothesis refinement. Instead, the refinement process may suggest the need for new data (to confirm or refute a hypothesis), which in turn might trigger further data abstraction, and so on.

### **Heracles shell**

The Heracles system is a comprehensive environment for building heuristic-classification qualitative models of engineering problems. This environment includes an explanation facility, [7] an interactive, graphics-based display developed for debugging

and teaching, [10] and a graphics-based knowledge editor designed for the Caster system.

These facilities give the knowledge engineer a well-defined relational language to express knowledge about classes of solutions and their features and specializations, about classes of findings, and about networks of causal relationships among abnormal states.

We generalized Heracles from Neomycin the same way Emycin was generalized from Mycin. [12] We removed all the domain rules and domain parameters from Neomycin and generalized any references to medicine in the control rules. Figure 2 shows Heracles as the core of the Neomycin and Caster systems. The relational language for qualitative models is the same. The classification procedure indexes the model in terms of these relations.

**Implementing classification.** Part of the key to efficient model design for selection problems is having a heuristic-classification control strategy already built into the problem solver. If the knowledge engineer can solve a problem with this computational method -- and experience shows it to be extremely general -- his job will be much easier because the vocabulary for stating the qualitative model and the procedure for interpreting it during a consultation are supplied in advance. The knowledge engineer is thus freed to concentrate on defining the basic terms and their relationships in the target domain.

In Heracles, control rules are grouped into tasks like explore-and-refine a general hypothesis, group-and-differentiate the current hypotheses in the differential, process a

(new) finding, and so on. There are 29 tasks and 75 control rules. (These control rules are also referred to as metarules since they are essentially rules which reason about the application of domain-specific rules or, more generally, what information to gather, and what assertions to make.)

Each task is associated with a short, ordered set of control rules. These rules are often applied iteratively according to the specification of the given task. Thus, heuristic classification in Heracles is highly structured and has relatively few steps or methods to achieve any one task.

Figure 3 shows an example of one of the control rules Heracles uses to process input data. Roughly translated, it says, "If the current task is forward-reason, and if a newly entered piece of data has not yet been clarified, then invoke a subtask called clarify-finding to further classify the data."

For example, if Neomycin learns that the patient has a headache, one of the possible choices it has is to ask more specific questions (such as how long, how often, or exactly where these headaches occur) that further clarify the initial, general finding of headache.

It is exactly this collection of tasks and metarules developed in Neomycin that we use in Caster, although not all of them apply. For instance, those rules pertaining to the distinction between circumstantial evidence and laboratory data are superfluous in Caster because all the data in Caster is circumstantial.

**Knowledge-expression language.** Another part of the key to efficient model design for selection problems is a well-defined relational language in which the knowledge

engineer can express knowledge of the domain. In Heracles, the fundamental terms (domain parameters) include findings, descriptions of abnormal internal occurrences (for simplicity we will call them states), and treatable causes. The fundamental relations include heuristic rules expressing a cause and effect (or causal) relationship, subsumption relations, taxonomic relations, and qualitative abstraction rules.

The possible relations between domain parameters that we need to describe the Caster system are as follows. Findings can subsume other findings (for example, since brain surgery is "a kind of" surgery, surgery is said to subsume brain surgery). Likewise, abnormal states can subsume other abnormal states. Abnormal processes are grouped into a classification hierarchy of diagnostic hypotheses by links that express a type/subtype or taxonomic relationship.

Findings and diagnostic hypotheses may be related directly by heuristic rules or by causal networks of abnormal states. Thus, findings can be caused by abnormal states, abnormal states can be caused by other abnormal states, and abnormal states can be caused by a particular hypothesized malfunction in a class of malfunctions. In general, diagnostic hypotheses are types of malfunctions endemic to a specific domain.

In our specific application of heuristic classification to diagnosis of processes, the disorder classification models the process in terms of what can go wrong at particular stages. Each terminal node specifies a model of the world in terms of symptoms and causes, and each terminal node exists because it is a treatable cause (that is, it can be heuristically related to fixes).

Moreover, each fix is a change to physical system functions and processes. Thus, our

goal is to construct a qualitative model that will fix a physical system, not a model that diagnoses a system for its own sake.

**Tools to express knowledge.** The ability to efficiently build a useful knowledge base partly depends on the well-known expert system tenets: The interface should be easy to learn, and easy to use. The Heracles user interface has a graphics-based display facility and a graphics-based knowledge editor. The editor is mouse- and window-driven, and its main menu is displayed as a pop-up window that lets the knowledge engineer move a node, add a node, delete a node, add a link, or delete a link (see Figure 4).

Nodes correspond to domain parameters such as findings, abnormal states, and malfunctions. Links refer to relations between parameters, such as causal rules, subtype relations, and subsumption relations.

An important feature of the knowledge editor is its knowledge of which domain parameters can be associated by which relations. For example, there are a number of slots associated with causal rules, and each slot may take any of a number of values. The knowledge engineer must only specify nodes and links between them -- the knowledge editor will determine which slots and values are appropriate, and then fill them in automatically.

Thus, the knowledge engineer doesn't have to know how to fill all the appropriate slots in all the appropriate schemata each time he specifies a domain relation. He doesn't even have to know that these slots exist. The knowledge editor makes the job of entering new knowledge much easier. It also makes it easier for the knowledge engineer

to see, literally, how the changes he makes will affect the old knowledge.

### **Sandcasting domain**

Sandcasting is a metalworking process used to make all sorts of objects from crescent wrenches to V8 engine blocks to ocean liner propellers. It involves packing a two-part box, called a flask, full of sand around a pattern of the object to be cast. In Figure 5, the casting is of an L-shaped object. The pattern is removed by separating the box along a parting line. The dimensions of the flask can be from about a foot square to 20 or 30 feet square, depending on the size of the object to be cast.

Molten metal is poured down a large tapered hole, called a sprue, into a cavity, called a sprue well, that cuts down on the turbulence caused by pouring and that allows impurities to settle before flowing into the mold cavity. The metal flows into the mold through runners that open onto the mold through gates.

The placement and size of the pattern equipment -- sprues, runners, and gates -- is critically important. For example, if the gates are too small, the metal may not fill the mold before beginning to solidify, thus cutting off flow into the mold prematurely. On the other hand, if the gates are too large, impurities can wash into the mold, causing structural and surface defects, like cracks and bubbles, in the casting. There are also many other considerations in pattern equipment design.

As the metal cools, its volume is reduced because molten metal has more volume than solid metal. As the metal solidifies, it must be kept under pressure and more molten metal must be available to replace the lost volume. Other funnel-shaped holes called

risers, which fill with metal during pouring, take care of this. The force of gravity on the metal in the risers can exert enough pressure to keep the casting from developing shrinkage cracks.

This qualitative physical description of sandcasting forms the foundation to understand the techniques in diagnosing sandcasting malfunctions. The problem is how to get a computer to understand sandcasting so that it can diagnose malfunctions.

The malfunctions we intend Caster to diagnose are the common and repeatable failures the quality control engineer faces every day. For example, the temperature of the molten metal, the pattern equipment, and the molding sand can vary from casting to casting. The pattern equipment will gradually wear away with time. The molding sand may contain varying amounts of moisture. Levels of impurities in the molten metal will vary. All these variables and many more will cause repeatable sandcasting malfunctions.

### **Knowledge acquisition experiment**

The knowledge bases for most expert systems depend very heavily on substantial input from domain experts. Unfortunately, the time of the skilled diagnostician is usually in great demand, so the time needed to build a quality knowledge base clashes with the need to have the expert fight fires elsewhere at the same time.

On the other hand, reference material for a given domain is often readily available -- but it is generally recognized that written references are a poor substitute for the domain expert.

Because the demand for the domain expert's time will always exceed the time available and because domain experts frequently consult reference works with good results, a fruitful research area would be trying to improve domain-knowledge acquisition techniques for written reference material.

**Firmly entrenched procedure.** One important reason an expert can assimilate new knowledge from reference manuals is that he starts with a firmly entrenched problem-solving procedure and a solid understanding of the fundamental terms and relations in his domain. We hypothesized that if we could equip a novice in the domain with a well-defined problem-solving procedure and a relational language for the knowledge representation, perhaps he, too, could efficiently construct a qualitative model of the domain from written reference materials.

Earlier research in studying a variety of knowledge bases, which showed that heuristic classification is a robust and well-defined problem-solving strategy for selection problems, seemed to endorse this hypothesis. Furthermore, there is considerable psychological support for heuristic classification as a model of how experts use experiential knowledge of familiar problem situations and solutions. [5]

To test our hypothesis, we chose an experiment in knowledge acquisition where we attempted to become, in a limited sense, our own domain experts by studying many textbooks and manuals on diagnosing sandcasting malfunctions, rather than extensively interviewing experts.

We tried to use heuristic classification and Heracles's relational model language as the foundation for our own emerging mental qualitative model, and as a framework to

guide the instantiation of Caster's computer model. We relied heavily on the casting handbook *Analysis of Casting Defects* [1] and referred to experts only on difficult or unclear matters.

**Developing a qualitative model.** There are about 15 major classes of sandcasting malfunctions. We initially concentrated on the kinds of sandcasting malfunctions that cause shrinkage cavities. A shrinkage cavity is the result of letting the casting cool without forcing new metal into the mold to offset the reduction in volume as the metal solidifies.

A shrink is an observable defect that would be considered a general finding in Caster as much as a headache is a finding in Neomycin. It is then possible to clarify that finding according to how long it has been occurring, what percentage of castings exhibit this defect, and the like.

*Original plan.* In our original plan, after establishing the data abstraction hierarchy for shrinks, the next goal was to identify from the manual the abnormal processes that could cause a shrink and then to organize them into a classification hierarchy with general malfunctions at the top and more specific malfunctions further down.

Finally, we wanted to uncover the heuristic relations between the data abstraction hierarchy and the taxonomy of malfunctions or perhaps identify a causal network of abnormal states relating abstractions and malfunctions.

In retrospect, we might have expected the job would not be so straightforward. Although we came to the task well-armed with our explicitly represented diagnostic procedure and relational model language, the authors of the casting manual didn't have

the same commitment to explicit diagnostic models.

Each sentence's mention of causality of some sort obscured problem feature hierarchies and malfunction taxonomies. The manual consists largely of independent heuristic causal relationships between observations and diagnoses. For example, the manual has many sentences like "Excessive gas producing inorganic materials can cause gas defects in castings . . .," "Uncured shell sand will . . . cause pinholes," and "Excess moisture in green molding sand is probably the greatest cause of gas defects in cast metals."

Thus, this casting manual is loosely organized as a very long list of causal relations of the form <some malfunction> causes <some symptom>. This long list is subdivided into about 15 major sections corresponding to the major observable symptoms. Each section is divided into several subsections corresponding to the basic processes in which malfunctions arise.

*General diagnostic strategy.* The authors of the manual made no attempt to teach a general diagnostic strategy, probably because the assumed reader is one already skilled in the art of sandcasting diagnosis. By assuming that the reader is a sandcasting expert with his own well-developed diagnostic methods, the authors were free to provide useful reference information in a highly concentrated form that the reader can assimilate into his existing "knowledge base" as he sees fit.

Our first pass at representing this type of description resulted in a group of fragmented causal associations (see Figure 6). About all we were sure of was that shrinkage cavities were caused by a variety of problems, including inadequate supply, not enough gates and risers, risers that are too small, and gates that are too large. From

this sort of description, it was not at all obvious what were treatable causes, what were internal descriptions, and so forth.

*Fundamental cause.* However, after much reflection and several abortive attempts at restructuring our initial list of causal associations, we realized that a fundamental cause of shrinkage cavities was inadequate supply and that the other problems mentioned, like too few gates or risers, were causes of inadequate supply.

There are essentially three reasons why the casting might be inadequately fed. One is that there simply is no metal available to feed. This can result from too few risers, risers that are too small, and similar reasons. Another cause is that the mold broke, possibly due to mishandling. Thus, the metal was available but leaked out. The third cause is that the feed is shut off, possibly because the neck of the riser is too small (that is, the metal in the small riser neck solidified, preventing an adequate flow) or the metal was not heated sufficiently.

It should be clear that we were doing more than merely translating the text in the manual into Heracles's relational model language. In studying the manual, our own qualitative model of the shrinkage cavity problem gradually emerged. Only after we really understood the causality behind shrinks could we put that knowledge in the language used by Heracles.

At the same time, however, we used the causal structure defined by Heracles to enable us to ferret out the causal networks of abnormal state transitions implicitly expressed in the casting manual.

Another important insight was the realization that the general malfunction classes in

Neomycin are fundamentally different than those found in the sandcasting domain. In medicine, we see clearly identifiable abnormal processes (such as infectious processes, vascular disorders, and traumatic processes) and abnormal agents that cause those processes. In casting, there are no analogous abnormal processes or agents. Instead, there is a clear sequence of normal processes (such as melting, pouring, and solidifying) that are affected by abnormal events (such as a mold breaking or the feed being shut off).

*Partial model.* Figure 7 shows a simplified version of our reorganized knowledge of shrinkage cavities which shows the normal processes of melting, molding, solidifying, and so forth, with the abnormal conditions that might occur in each process. For example, a poor jacket fit is just one abnormal condition which might arise during the normal molding process.

Because we had difficulty with our first attempt at building a qualitative model for shrinkage cavities, and because we believed we had resolved the source of that problem, we continued developing knowledge of another common type of casting malfunction: gas defects (see in Figure 8).

Significantly, it took several man-months to come to grips with the shrinkage cavities model while it took only a couple of weeks to develop a similarly complex model for gas defects.

*Example session.* The following is an excerpt of a script (annotated in italics) showing heuristic classification as applied to the partial qualitative model shown in Figure 7.

16-May-85 22:43:56

-----CASTING-4-----

Please enter information about the casting

1) Casting Type:

IRON

2) Please describe the chief complaints:

\*\* SHRINKAGE-CAVITIES

\*\*

*Caster begins by applying the heuristic rule suggesting inadequate supply.*

DIFFERENTIAL: (INADEQUATE-SUPPLY 800)

*Caster is exploring and refining the differential.*

*Inadequate-supply has been replaced by metal-leak, feed-is-shut-off, and no-metal-to-feed. Metal-leak suggests a broken-mold, so Caster inquires whether there is evidence of a runout.*

3) Does Casting-4 have a runout?

\*\* N

*Caster has looked at feed-is-shut-off, which suggests that fillets may be too small, so the system asks if the shrink is at a corner, which would be strong evidence for fillets-too-small.*

4) Does Casting-4 have a shrink at a corner?

\*\* Y

*Finally, Caster looks for evidence that there is no metal available to feed, namely that there is a high reject ratio.*

5) Does Casting-4 have a high reject ratio?

\*\* N

DIFFERENTIAL: (METAL-LEAK 100) (FEED-IS-SHUT-OFF 100)  
(FILLETS-TOO-SMALL 700)

This example shows how heuristic classification can reduce the amount of search required by ruling out some lines of reasoning while at the same time promoting a more focused and therefore more natural interaction with the user. The example also illustrates hypothesis refinement and the opportunistic style in which heuristic classification is implemented.

Inadequate-supply is replaced in the differential by its three children, metal-leak, feed-is-shut-off, and no-metal-to-feed. Each of these more specific hypotheses suggests hypotheses elsewhere in the malfunction taxonomy. Caster adds those hypotheses to the differential and tries to explore them along with the subtypes already in the differential by asking for confirming evidence which could in turn trigger further data abstraction. (Their children do not come into play in this example, and are therefore not shown in Figure 7.)

The next step in our development of Caster would be to extend and validate Caster's domain knowledge by using the system in the context of real-world problems. We are ready to perform such an analysis, but because the foundry has only very recently begun recording malfunction case histories, we cannot yet get the required data.

Experts examining traces of Caster working on hypothetical cases confirm that Caster does appear to make the correct diagnoses. This is important to us since one of our goals was to determine how well heuristic classification can be applied to nonmedical domains requiring causal reasoning.

**Observations on the experiment.** Although virtually all the well-known knowledge acquisition systems are designed specifically for human knowledge sources, we were able to show that Heracles, using an explicitly implemented heuristic classification control strategy and a relational language for building qualitative models, can build partial qualitative models for the sandcasting domain from diagnostic manuals.

Novice sandcasting diagnosticians such as ourselves could succeed in building Caster because the Heracles framework helped us understand the domain by organizing the

knowledge in the reference manuals so it could be used for diagnosis. Without it, we would still be staring at our original, overwhelming, unconnected, and not very useful list of causal relations from the manual.

The casting manual told us a lot of things about the casting domain, but it didn't tell us what to do with this knowledge. It didn't explain that some observations subsume other observations. It didn't explain that general malfunction classes can be refined into more specific hypotheses by asking specific questions. Heracles provided this vocabulary.

After we realized that sandcasting diagnoses are best characterized as a sequence of normal processes affected by abnormal events, we were able to use the casting manual efficiently to build partial qualitative models to diagnose sandcasting problems.

Understanding the different ways diagnostic hierarchies of processes can be organized is an important result of this research. For example, notice that the normal processes of sandcasting are subject to a temporal ordering. First we design the pattern, then build the mold, and then melt and pour the metal. Finally the metal solidifies.

A problem may occur in one process (a mistake in pattern design) but a symptom may not appear until several processes later (a shrinkage cavity). This provides a simple diagnostic heuristic we could add to Heracles -- moving from right to left in the tree of disorders (or backwards in time from effects to causes). This heuristic very nicely shows how the representation for causal processes relates to the diagnostic control rules.

## **Knowledge acquisition heuristics**

Expert system researchers have reached the point of focusing less on "How do we build expert systems?" and more on "How do we build expert systems right?" For example, how do we design expert systems that can be better maintained, that can explain their reasoning to users, and that are easier to build?

Caster's purpose was less to demonstrate that we could build the system than it was to understand how starting with a relational vocabulary for a qualitative model influences knowledge acquisition. One result was the identification of knowledge acquisition heuristics that are new precisely because they view knowledge acquisition in terms of constructing a qualitative model.

These heuristics apply not only to diagnostic systems but also to qualitative modeling for design, modification, monitoring, and control of physical systems in general. These knowledge acquisition heuristics extend and refine the crucial questions Buchanan et al. [8] list: "What are the important terms and their interrelations? What does a solution look like and what concepts are used in it? How are objects in the domain related?" Indeed, these are crucial questions. However, identifying these questions is not nearly as difficult as answering them.

We used Heracles's relational language to help us identify the fundamental terms and relations in sandcasting. We identified the important substances in our domain, including molding sand, water, various gases, and iron. We identified the important processes that act on these substances, including melting metal, designing a pattern, building a mold, and pouring the metal.

We constructed classification hierarchies for each of these substances to define the causes of abnormal events that disrupt these normal processes. We identified high-level descriptions of the symptoms of these problems and then constructed abstraction hierarchies for each by considering the possible types of information characterizing each general finding. (That is, we looked for generalizations, definitions, and qualitative abstractions yielding the general findings.)

The last step was determining the heuristic relationships between elements of the data abstraction hierarchy and the malfunction taxonomy. Relating general classes, instead of specific findings and hypotheses, aids learning because many specific relations can be understood as special cases of a general rule.

Thus, the hierarchical structure of domain knowledge -- the categorization of processes, substances, and the like promoted by the heuristic classification model -- is especially beneficial to knowledge acquisition.

**Step-by-step procedures.** Our experience with Caster further shows that this step-by-step procedure for qualitative model building is generically applicable to selection problems:

- Describe abnormal events in terms of the overall stages of the manufacturing process.

Ease the categorization of processes and abnormal events by classifying abnormal events in terms of the processes they occur in. For example, the problem of inadequate supply occurs during the solidification process. A broken mold is the result of a flaw in the molding process.

- Identify abnormal properties of substances -- then look for causes.

Before thinking about causality, think of all the possible manifestations of a type of problem, and then establish causes. For example, metal contamination is a serious problem in casting. There are a number of possible contaminants, including aluminum, silicon, and phosphorus. After identifying as many contamination problems as possible, consider how each type of contamination might occur and how the problem would manifest itself. This method is much easier and more complete than trying to identify the many causes of metal contamination without first considering what those contaminants might be.

- Identify possible malfunctions, determine the corrections for those problems, and then translate those relationships to causality.

Perhaps using case histories, figure out what action is needed to fix each malfunction and translate that knowledge into a causal representation. For instance, for the feed shut-off problem, we ask what possible changes could remedy the situation. The answers include making the gates bigger, the neck of the riser bigger, the fillets larger, and the metal hotter. All these corrections appear in the causal model for shrinkage cavities shown in Figure 7.

- Establish causality between findings and malfunctions, then expand intermediate levels.

After establishing a causal chain between findings and malfunctions, go back and look for additional hidden causality between the links in the chain. We found that it is quite natural for the expert to leave out levels of causality because he knows the domain. This was frequently the case in the casting manual. By making implicit relations explicit

(as measured by need in actual cases), knowledge can be organized to use search-saving strategies such as explore-and-refine.

- Express causality in, for example, natural language first, then translate it to an expert system's format.

Start by ignoring the expert system entirely and concentrate on expressing causality in a convenient way. Insisting that the knowledge engineer express his rules in a particular expert system's format risks paralyzing the engineer with unnecessary and orthogonal analysis of issues of little concern to him at the moment.

The Caster knowledge-base editor made this even easier. It very naturally expresses parameters and the relationships between them without getting bogged down with unnecessary implementation details. The system implementation should be as transparent as possible to the knowledge engineer (and we expect this would be especially important for the domain expert).

### **Knowledge acquisition bottleneck**

Many expert system researchers over the past 10 to 15 years have rallied behind the battle cry "Knowledge is power!" The prototypical expert system architecture emphasizes a simple inference engine that manipulates data in a knowledge base consisting of declarative rules and facts. The central idea is that the programmer is freed, by coding knowledge as declarative rules, from the constraints imposed by more traditional software languages. The programmer need not worry about each rule's context because the inference mechanism applies a rule only when its preconditions are satisfied.

This approach works in small domains but is very inefficient in real-world-scale applications. The two main problems are how does the system figure out which rules are applicable and which of those rules does it apply first?

As a result, virtually all large-scale expert systems either embed control knowledge in the domain knowledge or make the simple inference engine more complex. Often, the two approaches are mixed.

In either case, the burden of organizing system knowledge for efficient and correct use falls on the person who creates and maintains the knowledge base: the knowledge engineer. This job is extremely difficult, and the inability to encode knowledge representations except through this slow process is often referred to as the knowledge acquisition bottleneck.

There is widespread belief in artificial intelligence circles that this is the last nut to crack -- then expert systems will truly flourish in the commercial world.

However, one might argue that this intuition is overly simplistic -- what's really happening is that all the problems associated with traditional programming have been pushed onto the knowledge engineer. The Mycin system, for example, depended heavily on the skill of the knowledge engineer, who coded (in an unobvious and implicit way) virtually all the structure and strategies for using domain knowledge. [3]

As another example, most OPS5-based systems, like R1, [9] use some domain rules only to create purely contextual assertions that control the application of other rules. The basic idea is to partition the domain into a large number of small subproblems so only a limited number of rules need to be considered at any one time. Again, the

burden is on the knowledge engineer to organize domain knowledge so that this partitioning is possible.

Thus, the knowledge engineer who builds knowledge bases for real-world expert system applications is still programming in very much the traditional sense of the word. In fact, his job is now even harder, both because he has to implement control strategies in languages that don't explicitly support control constructs and because people now expect his software to solve more difficult problems.

Thus, an expert system is by no means a software panacea. Someone, somewhere, has to do the difficult job of organizing domain knowledge efficiently to handle the target application correctly. Whether this organization is implemented in a standard procedural program or by a knowledge engineer in an expert system's rule base, it is a difficult and expensive job.

The central problem is that typical knowledge bases consist of both partial qualitative models and embedded inference procedures. We conclude that if control knowledge recurs in various domains, as it does in problems solved by experiential classification knowledge, distilling that knowledge and stating it explicitly once saves considerable effort.

Put another way, constructing useful models is a fundamental concern for most engineering problems. Knowledge engineering specifically concerns the construction of qualitative models. However, the dictum "Knowledge is power" doesn't discriminate between the model of the domain and the inference procedure. Thus, the relation of this new technique to traditional engineering practice is not as clear as it could be.

The inference procedure of heuristic classification supplied with Heracles reduces knowledge acquisition to constructing classification and state-transition qualitative models. Since the inference procedure is general, the power of the knowledge lies in the store of previously encountered problem situations and solutions and of heuristic connections between them.

Therefore, we believe the lessons of knowledge engineering are better stated by the dictum "A qualitative model is power!"

### **Acknowledgments**

The research described in this article was performed while Timothy Thompson was at Stanford University supported by a Westinghouse B.G. Lamme Scholarship. William Clancey's research has been supported in part by the Office of Naval Research and the Army Research Institute under contract N00014-79C-0302 and by the Josiah Macy, Jr., Foundation. Computer facilities have been provided by the SUMEX-AIM national resource under National Institute of Health grant RR 00785.

**Timothy F. Thompson** is a senior engineer at the Westinghouse R&D Center. He has developed several knowledge-based systems, ranging from diagnosis of large electromechanical systems to the configuration of power distribution systems.

Thompson received his BSEE from the University of Pittsburgh and his MSEE from Carnegie-Mellon University. He is a member of the IEEE, ACM, AAAI, and ACL.

He can be contacted at the Westinghouse R&D Center, 1310 Beulah Rd., Pittsburgh, PA 15235.

**William J. Clancey** is a senior research associate in computer science at Stanford University's Knowledge Systems Laboratory. He has been active in expert system research since he joined the Mycin project in 1975.

Clancey received his BA in mathematical sciences from Rice University and his PhD in computer science from Stanford University. Clancey is a cofounder of Teknowledge, Inc., and an associate editor of *IEEE Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*.

He can be reached at the Stanford Knowledge Systems Laboratory, 701 Welch Road, Building C, Palo Alto, CA 94304.




Figure 1: Heuristic Classification




Figure 2: The Hercules Environment and the Neomycin and Caster applications

(META-RULE022

PREMISE (SET-WITH-NEW (UNCLARIFIED-FINDING NEW.DATA)  
FOCUSDATUM)ACTION (TASK CLARIFY-FINDING FOCUSDATUM)  
TASK FORWARD-REASON)

Figure 3: A sample metarule.




Figure 4: Using the knowledge editor.




Figure 5: Illustration of sandcasting





Figure 6: Causal network for shrinkage cavities.  
[Shrinkage-cavities is a finding; ultimate etiologies are terminal nodes on the right; abnormal states appear as intermediate nodes linked by causal rules.]



**Figure 7: Knowledge of shrinkage cavities**  
 [Etiologies appear below ANY-DISORDER; findings on left are linked to their causes by heuristic rules and to each other by subsumption.]




Figure 8: Partial qualitative model for gas defects.  
 [Etiologies appear below ANY-DISORDER; findings below are linked by subsumption; arrow lines indicate heuristic rules.]

## References

- [1] G.W. Anselman, et al.  
*Analysis of Casting Defects.*  
 American Foundrymen's Society, Des Plaines, Ill, 1974.
- [2] V.L. Yu, et al.  
 An Evaluation of MYCIN's Advice.  
 In Bruce G. Buchanan and Edward H. Shortliffe (editor), *Rule-Based Expert Systems*, pages 589-596. Addison-Wesley, Menlo Park, Cal., 1984.
- [3] W.J. Clancey.  
 The Epistemology of a Rule-Based Expert System -- A Framework for Explanation.  
*Artificial Intelligence* 20:215-251, , 1983.
- [4] W.J. Clancey.  
*Acquiring, Representing, and Evaluating a Competence Model of Diagnostic Strategy.*  
 Technical Report HPP-84-2, Stanford University, February, 1984.  
 (To appear in Chi, Glaser, and Farr (Eds.), *Contributions to the Nature of Expertise*, in preparation).
- [5] W.J. Clancey.  
 Heuristic Classification.  
*Artificial Intelligence* 27:289-350, 1985.  
 Also in *Knowledge-based Problem Solving*, ed. J.S. Kowalik, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1985. Also KSL 85-5.
- [6] R. Davis, H. Shrobe, W. Hamscher, K. Wieckert, M. Shirley, and S. Polit.  
 Diagnosis Based on Description of Structure and Function.  
 In *Proceedings of the National Conference on AI*, pages 137-142. Pittsburgh, PA, August, 1982.
- [7] D.W. Hasling, W.J. Clancey, G.R. Rennels.  
 Strategic explanations for a diagnostic consultation system.  
*The International Journal of Man-Machine Studies* 20(1):3-19, , 1984.
- [8] F. Hayes-Roth, D. Waterman, and D. Lenat, (eds.).  
*Building Expert Systems.*  
 Addison-Wesley, New York, 1983.
- [9] J. McDermott.  
*R1: A Rule-Based Configurer of Computer Systems.*  
 Technical Report CMU-CS-80-119, Carnegie-Mellon University, April, 1980.

- [10] M.H. Richer and W.J. Clancey.  
GUIDON WATCH: A Graphic Interface for Viewing a Knowledge-Based System.  
*IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications* 5(11):51-64, 1985.  
Also KSL 85-20.
- [11] E.H. Shortliffe.  
*Computer-Based Medical Consultations: MYCIN.*  
American Elsevier, New York, N.Y., 1976.
- [12] W. van Melle.  
*System Aids in Constructing Consultation Programs.*  
UMI Research Press, Ann Arbor, MI, 1981.

## List of Figures

<b>Figure 1:</b> Heuristic Classification.	28
<b>Figure 2:</b> The Heracles Environment and the Neomycin and Caster applications.	28
<b>Figure 3:</b> A sample metarule.	29
<b>Figure 4:</b> Using the knowledge editor.	29
<b>Figure 5:</b> Illustration of Sandcasting.	30
<b>Figure 6:</b> Causal network for shrinkage cavities.	30
<b>Figure 7:</b> Knowledge of shrinkage cavities organized for heuristic classification.	31
<b>Figure 8:</b> Partial qualitative model for gas defects.	32

Personnel Analysis Division,  
AF/MPXA  
SC360, The Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20330

Air Force Human Resources Lab  
AFHRL/MPD  
Brooks AFB, TX 78235

AFOSR,  
Life Sciences Directorate  
Bolling Air Force Base  
Washington, DC 20332

Dr. Robert Ahlers  
Code N711  
Human Factors Laboratory  
Naval Training Systems Center  
Orlando, FL 32813

Dr. Ed Aiken  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. William E. Alley  
AFHRL/MOT  
Brooks AFB, TX 78235

Dr. Earl A. Alluisi  
HQ, AFHRL (AFSC)  
Brooks AFB, TX 78235

Dr. John R. Anderson  
Department of Psychology  
Carnegie-Mellon University  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Nancy S. Anderson  
Department of Psychology  
University of Maryland  
College Park, MD 20742

Dr. Steve Andricole  
George Mason University  
School of Information  
Technology & Engineering  
4400 University Drive  
Fairfax, VA 22030

Dr. John Annett  
University of Warwick  
Department of Psychology  
Coventry CV4 7AJ  
ENGLAND

Dr. Phipps Ararie  
University of Illinois  
Department of Psychology  
603 E. Daniel St.  
Champaign, IL 61820

Technical Director, ARI  
5001 Eisenhower Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22333

Special Assistant for Projec  
OASN(M&RA)  
SD800, The Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20350

Dr. Michael Atwood  
ITT - Programming  
1000 Oronoque Lane  
Stratford, CT 06497

Dr. Patricia Baggett  
University of Colorado  
Department of Psychology  
Box 345  
Boulder, CO 80309

Dr. Eva L. Baker  
UCLA Center for the Study  
of Evaluation  
145 Moore Hall  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Dr. James D. Baker  
Director of Automation  
Allen Corporation of America  
401 Wythe Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Dr. Meryl S. Baker  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Donald E. Bamber  
Code 71  
Navy Personnel R & D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Mr. J. Barber  
HQs, Department of the Army  
DAPE-ZBR  
Washington, DC 20310

Capt. J. Jean Belanger  
Training Development Division  
Canadian Forces Training System  
CFTSHQ, CFB Trenton  
Astra, Ontario, KOK  
CANADA

CDR Robert J. Biersner, USN  
Naval Biodynamics Laboratory  
P. O. Box 29407  
New Orleans, LA 70189

Dr. Menucha Birenbaum  
School of Education  
Tel Aviv University  
Tel Aviv, Ramat Aviv 69978  
ISRAEL

Dr. Werner P. Birke  
Personalstammamt der Bundeswehr  
Kolner Strasse 262  
D-5000 Koeln 90  
FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

Dr. Gautam Biswas  
Department of Computer Science  
University of South Carolina  
Columbia, SC 29208

Dr. John Black  
College, Columbia Univ.  
525 West 121st Street  
New York, NY 10027

Dr. Arthur S. Blaiwes  
Code N711  
Naval Training Systems Center  
Orlando, FL 32813

Dr. Robert Blanchard  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Jeff Bonar  
Learning R&D Center  
University of Pittsburgh  
Pittsburgh, PA 15260

Dr. J. C. Boudreaux  
Center for Manufacturing  
Engineering  
National Bureau of Standards  
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Dr. Gordon H. Bower  
Department of Psychology  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94306

Dr. Richard Braby  
NTSC Code 10  
Orlando, FL 32751

Dr. Robert Breaux  
Code N-095R  
Naval Training Systems Center  
Orlando, FL 32813

Dr. John S. Brown  
XEROX Palo Alto Research  
Center  
3333 Coyote Road  
Palo Alto, CA 94304

Dr. Bruce Buchanan  
Computer Science Department  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Howard Burrows  
National Institute of Health  
Bldg. 10, Room 5C-106  
Bethesda, MD 20892

Dr. Patricia A. Butler  
OERI  
555 New Jersey Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20208

Dr. Tom Cafferty  
Dept. of Psychology  
University of South Carolina  
Columbia, SC 29208

Dr. Robert Calfee  
School of Education  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

Joanne Capper  
Center for Research into Practice  
1718 Connecticut Ave., N.W.  
Washington, DC 20009

Dr. Jaime Carbonell  
Carnegie-Mellon University  
Department of Psychology  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Mr. James W. Carey  
Commandant (G-PTE)  
U.S. Coast Guard  
2100 Second Street, S.W.  
Washington, DC 20593

Dr. Susan Carey  
Harvard Graduate School of  
Education  
337 Gutman Library  
Appian Way  
Cambridge, MA 02138

Dr. Pat Carpenter  
Carnegie-Mellon University  
Department of Psychology  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Prof. John W. Carr III  
Department of Computer Science  
Moore School of  
Electrical Engineering  
University of Pennsylvania  
Philadelphia, PA 19104

Dr. Robert Carroll  
OP 01B7  
Washington, DC 20370

LCDR Robert Carter  
Office of the Chief  
of Naval Operations  
OP-01B  
Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20350-2000

Dr. Fred Chang  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
Code 51  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Alphonse Chapanis  
8415 Bellona Lane  
Suite 210  
Buxton Towers  
Baltimore, MD 21204

Dr. Davida Charney  
Department of Psychology  
Carnegie-Mellon University  
Schenley Park  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Eugene Charniak  
Brown University  
Computer Science Department  
Providence, RI 02912

Dr. Paul R. Chatelier  
OUSDRE  
Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20350-2000

Dr. Michelene Chi  
Learning R & D Center  
University of Pittsburgh  
3939 O'Hara Street  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Mr. Raymond E. Christal  
AFHRL/MOE  
Brooks AFB, TX 78235

Dr. Yee-Yeen Chu  
Perceptrronics, Inc.  
21111 Erwin Street  
Woodland Hills, CA 91367-371

Dr. William Clancey  
Stanford University  
Knowledge Systems Laboratory  
101 Welch Road, Bldg. C  
Palo Alto, CA 94304

Chief of Naval Education  
and Training  
Liaison Office  
Air Force Human Resource Lab  
Operations Training Division  
Williams AFB, AZ 85224

Assistant Chief of Staff  
for Research, Development,  
Test, and Evaluation  
Naval Education and  
Training Command (N-5)  
NAS Pensacola, FL 32508

Dr. Allan M. Collins  
Bolt Beranek & Newman, Inc.  
50 Moulton Street  
Cambridge, MA 02138

Dr. John J. Collins  
Director, Field Research  
Office, Orlando  
NPRDC Liaison Officer  
NTSC Orlando, FL 32813

Dr. Stanley Collyer  
Office of Naval Technology  
Code 222  
800 N. Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Dr. Lynn A. Cooper  
Learning R&D Center  
University of Pittsburgh  
3939 O'Hara Street  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Meredith P. Crawford  
American Psychological Association  
Office of Educational Affairs  
1200 17th Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20036

Dr. Hans Crombag  
University of Leyden  
Education Research Center  
Boerhaaveelaan 2  
2334 EN Leyden  
The NETHERLANDS

LT Judy Crookshanks  
Chief of Naval Operations  
OP-112G5  
Washington, DC 20370-2000

Dr. Kenneth B. Cross  
Anacapa Sciences, Inc.  
P.O. Drawer Q  
Santa Barbara, CA 93102

Dr. Mary Cross  
Department of Education  
Adult Literacy Initiative  
Room 4145  
400 Maryland Avenue, SW  
Washington, DC 20202

CTB/McGraw-Hill Library  
2500 Garden Road  
Monterey, CA 93940

CAPT P. Michael Curran  
Office of Naval Research  
800 N. Quincy St.  
Code 125  
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Dr. Cary Czichon  
Intelligent Instructional Sy  
Texas Instruments AI Lab  
P.O. Box 660245  
Dallas, TX 75266

Bryan Dallman  
AFHRL/LRT  
Lowry AFB, CO 80230

LT John Deaton  
ONR Code 125  
800 N. Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Dr. Natalie Dehn  
Department of Computer and  
Information Science  
University of Oregon  
Eugene, OR 97403

Dr. Gerald F. DeJong  
Artificial Intelligence Grou  
Coordinated Science Laborato  
University of Illinois  
Urbana, IL 61801

Goery Delacote  
Directeur de L'informatique  
Scientifique et Technique  
CNRS  
15, Quai Anatole France  
75700 Paris FRANCE

Mr. Robert Denton  
AFMPC/MPC/PR  
Randolph AFB, TX 78150

Mr. Paul DiRenzo  
Commandant of the Marine Corps  
Code LBC-4  
Washington, DC 20380

Dr. R. K. Dismukes  
Associate Director for Life Sciences  
AFOSR  
Bolling AFB  
Washington, DC 20332

Defense Technical  
Information Center  
Cameron Station, Bldg 5  
Alexandria, VA 22314  
Attn: TC  
(12 Copies)

Dr. Thomas M. Duffy  
Communications Design Center  
Carnegie-Mellon University  
Schenley Park  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Barbara Eason  
Military Educator's  
Resource Network  
InterAmerica Research Associates  
1555 Wilson Blvd  
Arlington, VA 22209

Edward E. Eddowes  
CNATRA N301  
Naval Air Station  
Corpus Christi, TX 78419

Dr. John Ellis  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92252

Dr. Richard Elster  
Deputy Assistant Secretary  
of the Navy (Manpower)  
QASN (M&RA)  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, DC 20350-1000

Dr. Susan Embretson  
University of Kansas  
Psychology Department  
426 Fraser  
Lawrence, KS 66045

Dr. Randy Engle  
Department of Psychology  
University of South Carolina  
Columbia, SC 29208

Lt. Col. Rich Entlich  
HQ, Department of the Army  
OCSA(DACS-DPM)  
Washington, DC 20310

Dr. Susan Epstein  
Hunter College  
144 S. Mountain Avenue  
Montclair, NJ 07042

Dr. William Epstein  
University of Wisconsin  
W. J. Brogden Psychology Bldg  
1202 W. Johnson Street  
Madison, WI 53706

ERIC Facility-Acquisitions  
4833 Rugby Avenue  
Bethesda, MD 20014

Dr. K. Anders Ericsson  
University of Colorado  
Department of Psychology  
Boulder, CO 80309

Dr. Edward Esty  
Department of Education, OER  
Room 717D  
1200 19th St., NW  
Washington, DC 20208

Dr. Beatrice J. Farr  
Army Research Institute  
5001 Eisenhower Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Marshall J. Farr  
2520 North Vernon Street  
Arlington, VA 22207

Dr. Pat Federico  
Code 511  
NPRDC  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Jerome A. Feldman  
University of Rochester  
Computer Science Department  
Rochester, NY 14627

Dr. Paul Feltovich  
Southern Illinois University  
School of Medicine  
Medical Education Department  
P.O. Box 3926  
Springfield, IL 62706

Mr. Wallace Feurzeig  
Educational Technology  
Bolt Beranek & Newman  
10 Moulton St.  
Cambridge, MA 02238

Dr. Craig I. Fields  
ARPA  
1400 Wilson Blvd.  
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. Gerhard Fischer  
University of Colorado  
Department of Computer Science  
Boulder, CO 80309

J. D. Fletcher  
9931 Corsica Street  
Vienna VA 22180

Dr. Linda Flower  
Carnegie-Mellon University  
Department of English  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Kenneth D. Forbus  
University of Illinois  
Department of Computer Science  
1304 West Springfield Avenue  
Urbana, IL 61801

Dr. Barbara A. Fox  
University of Colorado  
Department of Linguistics  
Boulder, CO 80309

Dr. Carl H. Frederiksen  
McGill University  
3700 McTavish Street  
Montreal, Quebec H3A 1Y2  
CANADA

Dr. John R. Frederiksen  
Bolt Beranek & Newman  
50 Moulton Street  
Cambridge, MA 02138

Dr. Norman Frederiksen  
Educational Testing Service  
Princeton, NJ 08541

Dr. Alfred R. Frey  
AFOSR/NL  
Bolling AFB, DC 20332

Dr. Bob Frey  
Commandant (G-P-1/2)  
USCG HQ  
Washington, DC 20593

Dr. Alinda Friedman  
Department of Psychology  
University of Alberta  
Edmonton, Alberta  
CANADA T6G 2E9

Julie A. Gadsden  
Information Technology  
Applications Division  
Admiralty Research Establish  
Portsmouth, Portsmouth PO6 4A  
UNITED KINGDOM

Dr. R. Edward Geiselman  
Department of Psychology  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Dr. Michael Genesereth  
Stanford University  
Computer Science Department  
Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Dore Gentner  
University of Illinois  
Department of Psychology  
603 E. Daniel St.  
Champaign, IL 61820

Dr. Robert Glaser  
Learning Research  
University of Pittsburgh  
3939 O'Hara Street  
Pittsburgh, PA 15260

Dr. Arthur H. Glenberg  
University of Wisconsin  
W. J. Brogden Psychology Bldg.  
1202 W. Johnson Street  
Madison, WI 53706

Dr. Marvin D. Glock  
13 Stone Hall  
Cornell University  
Ithaca, NY 14853

Dr. Gene L. Gloye  
Office of Naval Research  
Detachment  
1030 E. Green Street  
Pasadena, CA 91106-2405

Dr. Sam Gluckberg  
Department of Psychology  
Princeton University  
Princeton, NJ 08540

Dr. Joseph Goguen  
Computer Science Laboratory  
SRI International  
333 Ravenswood Avenue  
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Dr. Sherrie Gott  
AFHRL/MODJ  
Brooks AFB, TX 78235

Jordan Grafman, Ph.D.  
2021 Lyttonsville Road  
Silver Spring, MD 20910

Dr. Richard H. Granger  
Department of Computer Science  
University of California, Irvine  
Irvine, CA 92717

Dr. Wayne Gray  
Army Research Institute  
5001 Eisenhower Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. James G. Greeno  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

H. William Greenup  
Education Advisor (E031)  
Education Center, MCDEC  
Quantico, VA 22134

Dipl. Pad. Michael W. Haben  
Universitat Dusseldorf  
Erziehungswissenschaftliches  
Universitaetsstr. 1  
D-4000 Dusseldorf 1  
WEST GERMANY

Prof. Edward Haertel  
School of Education  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Henry M. Halff  
Halff Resources, Inc.  
4918 33rd Road, North  
Arlington, VA 22207

Dr. Ronald K. Hambleton  
Prof. of Education & Psychol  
University of Massachusetts  
at Amherst  
Hills House  
Amherst, MA 01003

Dr. Cheryl Hammel  
NTSC  
Orlando, FL 32813

Dr. Bruce W. Hamill  
Johns Hopkins University  
Applied Physics Laboratory  
Johns Hopkins Road  
Laurel, MD 20707

Dr. Ray Hannapel  
Scientific and Engineering  
Personnel and Education  
National Science Foundation  
Washington, DC 20550

Steven Marnad  
Editor, The Behavioral and  
Brain Sciences  
20 Nassau Street, Suite 240  
Princeton, NJ 08540

Mr. William Hartung  
PEAM Product Manager  
Army Research Institute  
5001 Eisenhower Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Wayne Harvey  
SRI International  
333 Ravenswood Ave.  
Room B-S324  
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Dr. Reid Hastie  
Northwestern University  
Department of Psychology  
Evanston, IL 60201

Prof. John R. Hayes  
Carnegie-Mellon University  
Department of Psychology  
Schenley Park  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Barbara Hayes-Roth  
Department of Computer Science  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 95305

Dr. Frederick Hayes-Roth  
Teknowledge  
525 University Ave.  
Palo Alto, CA 94301

Dr. Joan I. Heller  
505 Haddon Road  
Oakland, CA 94606

Dr. James Hendler  
Dept. of Computer Science  
University of Maryland  
College Park, MD 20742

Dr. Jim Hollan  
Intelligent Systems Group  
Institute for  
Cognitive Science (C-015)  
UCSD  
La Jolla, CA 92093

Dr. Melissa Holland  
Army Research Institute for  
Behavioral and Social Sci  
5001 Eisenhower Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Robert W. Holt  
Department of Psychology  
George Mason University  
4400 University Drive  
Fairfax, VA 22030

Dr. Keith Holyoak  
University of Michigan  
Human Performance Center  
330 Packard Road  
Ann Arbor, MI 48109

Prof. Lutz F. Moenke  
Institut für Psychologie  
RWTH Aachen  
Jaegerstrasse 17/19  
D-5100 Aachen  
WEST GERMANY

Mr. Dick Noshaw  
OP-135  
Arlington Annex  
Room 2834  
Washington, DC 20350

Dr. James Howard  
Dept. of Psychology  
Human Performance Laboratory  
Catholic University of  
America  
Washington, DC 20064

Dr. Steven Hunka  
Department of Education  
University of Alberta  
Edmonton, Alberta  
CANADA

Dr. Earl Hunt  
Department of Psychology  
University of Washington  
Seattle, WA 98105

Dr. Ed Hutchins  
Intelligent Systems Group  
Institute for  
Cognitive Science (C-015)  
UCSD  
La Jolla, CA 92093

Dr. Dillon Inouye  
WICAT Education Institute  
Provo, UT 84057

Dr. Alice Isen  
Department of Psychology  
University of Maryland  
Catonsville, MD 21228

Dr. Zachary Jacobson  
Bureau of Management Consulting  
365 Laurier Avenue West  
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0S5  
CANADA

Dr. Robert Jannarone  
Department of Psychology  
University of South Carolina  
Columbia, SC 29208

Dr. Claude Janvier  
Directeur, CIRADE  
Université du Québec à Montréal  
Montréal, Québec H3C 3P8  
CANADA

COL Dennis W. Jarvi  
Commander  
AFHRL  
Brooks AFB, TX 78235-5601

Dr. Robin Jeffries  
Hewlett-Packard Laboratories  
P.O. Box 10490  
Palo Alto, CA 94303-0971

Dr. Robert Jernigan  
Decision Resource Systems  
5595 Vantage Point Road  
Columbia, MD 21044

Margaret Jerome  
c/o Dr. Peter Chandler  
83, The Drive  
Hove  
Sussex  
UNITED KINGDOM

Dr. Joseph E. Johnson  
Assistant Dean for  
Graduate Studies  
College of Science and Math  
University of South Carolina  
Columbia, SC 29208

Dr. Richard Johnson  
Boise State University  
Simplot/Micron  
Technology Center  
Boise, ID 83725

CDR Tom Jones  
ONR Code 125  
800 N. Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Dr. Douglas A. Jones  
P.O. Box 6640  
Lawrenceville  
NJ 08648

Col. Dominique Jouslin de No  
Estat-Major de l'Armée de Ter  
Centre de Relations Humaines  
3 Avenue Octave Gréard  
75007 Paris  
FRANCE

Dr. Marcel Just  
Carnegie-Mellon University  
Department of Psychology  
Schenley Park  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Milton S. Katz  
Army Research Institute  
5001 Eisenhower Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Scott Kelso  
Haskins Laboratories,  
270 Crown Street  
New Haven, CT 06510

Dr. Dennis Kibler  
University of California  
Department of Information  
and Computer Science  
Irvine, CA 92717

Dr. David Kieras  
University of Michigan  
Technical Communication  
College of Engineering  
1223 E. Engineering Building  
Ann Arbor, MI 48109

Dr. Peter Kincaid  
Training Analysis  
& Evaluation Group  
Department of the Navy  
Orlando, FL 32813

Dr. Walter Kintsch  
Department of Psychology  
University of Colorado  
Campus Box 343  
Boulder, CO 80302

Dr. Paula Kirk  
Oakridge Associated Universities  
University Programs Division  
P.O. Box 117  
Oakridge, TN 37831-0117

Dr. David Klahr  
Carnegie-Mellon University  
Department of Psychology  
Schenley Park  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Mazie Knerr  
Program Manager  
Training Research Division  
HMRRO  
1100 S. Washington  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Dr. Janet L. Kolodner  
Georgia Institute of Technology  
School of Information  
& Computer Science  
Atlanta, GA 30332

Dr. Stephen Kosslyn  
Harvard University  
1236 William James Hall  
33 Kirkland St.  
Cambridge, MA 02138

Dr. Kenneth Kotovsky  
Department of Psychology  
Community College of  
Allegheny County  
800 Allegheny Avenue  
Pittsburgh, PA 15233

Dr. David H. Krantz  
2 Washington Square Village  
Apt. # 15J  
New York, NY 10012

Dr. Benjamin Kuipers  
University of Texas at Austin  
Department of Computer Science  
T.S. Painter Hall 3.28  
Austin, Texas 78712

Dr. David R. Lambert  
Naval Ocean Systems Center  
Code 441T  
271 Catalina Boulevard  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Pat Langley  
University of California  
Department of Information  
and Computer Science  
Irvine, CA 92717

M. Diane Langston  
Communications Design Center  
Carnegie-Mellon University  
Schenley Park  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Jill Larkin  
Carnegie-Mellon University  
Department of Psychology  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Robert Lawler  
Information Sciences, FRL  
GTE Laboratories, Inc.  
40 Sylvan Road  
Waltham, MA 02254

Dr. Paul E. Lehner  
PAR Technology Corp.  
7926 Jones Branch Drive  
Suite 170  
McLean, VA 22102

Dr. Alan M. Leopold  
Learning R&D Center  
University of Pittsburgh  
Pittsburgh, PA 15260

Dr. Alan Leinhner  
Deputy Division Director  
Behavioral and Neural Sciences  
National Science Foundation  
1800 G Street  
Washington, DC 20550

Dr. Jim Levin  
University of California  
Laboratory for Comparative  
Human Cognition  
D003A  
La Jolla, CA 92093

Dr. John Levine  
Learning R&D Center  
University of Pittsburgh  
Pittsburgh, PA 15260

Dr. Michael Levine  
Educational Psychology  
210 Education Bldg.  
University of Illinois  
Champaign, IL 61801

Dr. Charles Lewis  
Faculteit Sociale Wetenschappen  
Rijksuniversiteit Groningen  
Oude Boteringestraat 23  
9712GC Groningen  
The NETHERLANDS

Dr. Clayton Lewis  
University of Colorado  
Department of Computer Science  
Campus Box 430  
Boulder, CO 80309

Library  
Naval War College  
Newport, RI 02840

Library  
Naval Training Systems Center  
Orlando, FL 32813

Dr. Charlotte Linde  
SRI International  
333 Ravenswood Avenue  
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Dr. Marcia C. Linn  
Lawrence Hall of Science  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

Dr. Robert Linn  
College of Education  
University of Illinois  
Urbana, IL 61801

Dr. Don Lyon  
P. O. Box 44  
Higley, AZ 85236

Dr. Jane Malin  
Mail Code SR 111  
NASA Johnson Space Center  
Houston, TX 77058

Dr. William L. Maloy  
Chief of Naval Education  
and Training  
Naval Air Station  
Pensacola, FL 32508

Dr. Sandra P. Marshall  
Dept. of Psychology  
San Diego State University  
San Diego, CA 92182

Dr. Weston M. Matthews  
Department of Computer Science  
University of South Carolina  
Columbia, SC 29208

Dr. Richard E. Mayer  
Department of Psychology  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Dr. James McBride  
Psychological Corporation  
c/o Harcourt, Brace,  
Javanovich Inc.  
1250 West 6th Street  
San Diego, CA 92101

Dr. David J. McGuinness  
Gallaudet College  
800 Florida Avenue, N.E.  
Washington, DC 20002

Dr. Kathleen McKeown  
Columbia University  
Department of Computer Science  
New York, NY 10027

Dr. Joe McLachlan  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. James McMichael  
Assistant for MPT Research,  
Development, and Studies  
OP 0187  
Washington, DC 20370

Dr. Barbara Means  
Human Resources  
Research Organization  
1100 South Washington  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Dr. Douglas L. Medin  
Department of Psychology  
University of Illinois  
603 E. Daniel Street  
Champaign, IL 61820

Dr. Arthur Melmed  
U. S. Department of Education  
724 Brown  
Washington, DC 20208

Dr. Jose Mestre  
Department of Physics  
Hasbrouck Laboratory  
University of Massachusetts  
Amherst, MA 01003

Dr. Al Meyrowitz  
Office of Naval Research  
Code 1133  
800 N. Quincy  
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Dr. Ryszard S. Michalski  
University of Illinois  
Department of Computer Science  
1304 West Springfield Avenue  
Urbana, IL 61801

Prof. D. Michie  
The Turing Institute  
36 North Hanover Street  
Glasgow G1 2AD, Scotland  
UNITED KINGDOM

Dr. George A. Miller  
Department of Psychology  
Green Hall  
Princeton University  
Princeton, NJ 08540

Dr. James R. Miller  
MCC  
9430 Research Blvd.  
Echelon Building #1, Suite 2  
Austin, TX 78759

Dr. Mark Miller  
Computer Thought Corporation  
1721 West Plano Parkway  
Plano, TX 75075

Dr. Andrew R. Molnar  
Scientific and Engineering  
Personnel and Education  
National Science Foundation  
Washington, DC 20550

Dr. William Montague  
NPRDC Code 13  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Tom Moran  
Xerox PARC  
3333 Coyote Hill Road  
Palo Alto, CA 94304

Dr. Melvyn Moy  
Navy Personnel R & D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Allen Munro  
Behavioral Technology  
Laboratories - USC  
1845 S. Elena Ave., 4th Floor  
Redondo Beach, CA 90277

Director,  
Decision Support  
Systems Division, NMPC  
Naval Military Personnel Command  
N-164  
Washington, DC 20370

Director,  
Distribution Department, NMPC  
N-4  
Washington, DC 20370

Director,  
Overseas Duty Support  
Program, NMPC  
N-62  
Washington, DC 20370

Head, HRM Operations Branch,  
NMPC  
N-62F  
Washington, DC 20370

Assistant for Evaluation,  
Analysis, and MIS, NMPC  
N-6C  
Washington, DC 20370

Spec. Asst. for Research, Experi-  
mental & Academic Programs,  
NTTC (Code 016)  
NAS Memphis (75)  
Millington, TN 38054

Director,  
Research & Analysis Div.,  
NAVCRUITCOM Code 22  
4015 Wilson Blvd.  
Arlington, VA 22203

Technical Director  
Navy Health Research Center  
P.O. Box 85122  
San Diego, CA 92138

Leadership Management Educat  
and Training Project Offi  
Naval Medical Command  
Code 05C  
Washington, DC 20372

Technical Director,  
Navy Health Research Ctr.  
P.O. Box 85122  
San Diego, CA 92138

Dr. T. Niblett  
The Turing Institute  
36 North Hanover Street  
Glasgow G1 2AD, Scotland  
UNITED KINGDOM

Dr. Richard E. Nisbett  
University of Michigan  
Institute for Social Research  
Room 5261  
Ann Arbor, MI 48109

Dr. Mary Jo Nissen  
University of Minnesota  
N218 Elliott Hall  
Minneapolis, MN 55455

Dr. Donald A. Norman  
Institute for Cognitive Scie  
University of California  
La Jolla, CA 92093

Director, Training Laborator  
NPRDC (Code 05)  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Director, Manpower and Perso  
Laboratory,  
NPRDC (Code 06)  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Director, Human Factors  
& Organizational Systems  
NPRDC (Code 07)  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Fleet Support Office,  
NPRDC (Code 301)  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Library, NPRDC  
Code P201L  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Commanding Officer,  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Code 2627  
Washington, DC 20390

Dr. Harold F. O'Neil, Jr.  
School of Education - WPH 801  
Department of Educational  
Psychology & Technology  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, CA 90089-0031

Dr. Stellan Ohlsson  
Learning R & D Center  
University of Pittsburgh  
3939 O'Hara Street  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Director, Research Programs,  
Office of Naval Research  
800 North Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Office of Naval Research,  
Code 1133  
800 N. Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Office of Naval Research,  
Code 1142  
800 N. Quincy St.  
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Office of Naval Research,  
Code 1142EP  
800 N. Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Office of Naval Research,  
Code 1142PT  
800 N. Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217-5000  
(6 Copies)

Director, Technology Programs,  
Office of Naval Research  
Code 12  
800 North Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Special Assistant for Marine  
Corps Matters,  
ONR Code 00MC  
800 N. Quincy St.  
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Assistant for Long Range  
Requirements,  
CNO Executive Panel  
OP 00K  
2000 North Beauregard Street  
Alexandria, VA 22311

Assistant for MPT Research,  
Development and Studies  
OP 01B7  
Washington, DC 20370

Dr. Judith Orasanu  
Army Research Institute  
5001 Eisenhower Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Jesse Orlansky  
Institute for Defense Analys  
1801 N. Beauregard St.  
Alexandria, VA 22311

Prof. Seymour Papert  
20C-109  
Massachusetts Institute  
of Technology  
Cambridge, MA 02139

CDR R. T. Parlette  
Chief of Naval Operations  
OP-112G  
Washington, DC 20370-2000

Dr. James Paulson  
Department of Psychology  
Portland State University  
P.O. Box 751  
Portland, OR 97207

Dr. Douglas Pearce  
DCIEM  
Box 2000  
Downsview, Ontario  
CANADA

Dr. Virginia E. Pendergrass  
Code 711  
Naval Training Systems Center  
Orlando, FL 32813-7100

Dr. Robert Penn  
NPRDC  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Nancy Pennington  
University of Chicago  
Graduate School of Business  
1101 E. 58th St.  
Chicago, IL 60637

Military Assistant for Training and  
Personnel Technology,  
OUSD (R & E)  
Room 3DL29, The Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20301-3080

Dr. Ray Perez  
ARI (PERI-II)  
5001 Eisenhower Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 2233

Dr. David N. Perkins  
Educational Technology Center  
337 Gutman Library  
Appian Way  
Cambridge, MA 02138

LCDR Frank C. Petho, MSC, USN  
CNATRA Code N36, Bldg. 1  
NAS  
Corpus Christi, TX 78419

Administrative Sciences Department,  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93940

Department of Computer Science,  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93940

Department of Operations Research,  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93940

Dr. Tjaard Plomp  
Twente University of Technol  
Department of Education  
P.O. Box 217  
7500 AE ENSCHEDE

#### THE NETHERLANDS

Dr. Martha Polson  
Department of Psychology  
Campus Box 346  
University of Colorado  
Boulder, CO 80309

Dr. Peter Polson  
University of Colorado  
Department of Psychology  
Boulder, CO 80309

Dr. Steven E. Poltrack  
NCC  
9430 Research Blvd.  
Bethesda Bldg 81  
Austin, TX 78759-6509

Dr. Harry E. Pople  
University of Pittsburgh  
Decision Systems Laboratory  
1360 Scalef Hall  
Pittsburgh, PA 15261

Dr. Mary C. Potter  
Department of Psychology  
MIT (E-10-032)  
Cambridge, MA 02139

Dr. Joseph Psotka  
ATTN: PERI-1C  
Army Research Institute  
5001 Eisenhower Ave.  
Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Roy Rada  
National Library of Medicine  
Bethesda, MD 20894

Dr. Lynne Reder  
Department of Psychology  
Carnegie-Mellon University  
Schenley Park  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Brian Reiser  
Department of Psychology  
Princeton University  
Princeton, NJ 08544

Dr. James A. Reggia  
University of Maryland  
School of Medicine  
Department of Neurology  
22 South Greene Street  
Baltimore, MD 21201

CDR Karen Reider  
Naval School of Health Sciences  
National Naval Medical Center  
Bldg. 141  
Washington, DC 20814

Dr. Fred Reif  
Physics Department  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

Dr. Lauren Resnick  
Learning R & D Center  
University of Pittsburgh  
1939 O'Hara Street  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Mary S. Riley  
Program in Cognitive Science  
Center for Human Information  
Processing  
University of California  
La Jolla, CA 92093

William Rizzo  
Code 712  
Naval Training Systems Center  
Orlando, FL 32813

Dr. Linda G. Roberts  
Science, Education, and  
Transportation Program  
Office of Technology Assessment  
Congress of the United States  
Washington, DC 20510

Dr. Andrew M. Rose  
American Institutes  
for Research  
1055 Thomas Jefferson St., NW  
Washington, DC 20007

Dr. William B. Rouse  
Search Technology, Inc.  
25-b Technology Park/Atlanta  
Norcross, GA 30092

Dr. Donald Rubin  
Statistics Department  
Science Center, Room 608  
1 Oxford Street  
Harvard University  
Cambridge, MA 02138

Dr. David Rumelhart  
Center for Human  
Information Processing  
Univ. of California  
La Jolla, CA 92093

Ms. Riitta Ruotsalainen  
General Headquarters  
Training Section  
Military Psychology Office  
PL 919  
SF-00101 Helsinki 10, FINLAN

Dr. Michael J. Samet  
Perceptronics, Inc  
6271 Variel Avenue  
Woodland Hills, CA 91364

Dr. Roger Schank  
Yale University  
Computer Science Department  
P.O. Box 2158  
New Haven, CT 06520

Dr. W. L. Scherlis  
Dept. of Computer Science  
Carnegie-Mellon University  
Schenley Park  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Mrs. Birgitte Schneidelbach  
Forsvarets Center for Leders  
Christianshavns Voldgade 8  
1424 Kopenhagen K  
DENMARK

Dr. Walter Schneider  
Learning R&D Center  
University of Pittsburgh  
3939 O'Hara Street  
Pittsburgh, PA 15260

Dr. Alan H. Schoenfeld  
University of California  
Department of Education  
Berkeley, CA 94720

Dr. Janet Schofield  
Learning R&D Center  
University of Pittsburgh  
Pittsburgh, PA 15260

Dr. Marc Sebrechts  
Department of Psychology  
Wesleyan University  
Middletown, CT 06475

Dr. Judith Segal  
OERI  
555 New Jersey Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20208

Dr. Robert J. Seidel  
US Army Research Institute  
5001 Eisenhower Ave.  
Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Ramsey W. Selden  
Assessment Center  
CCB80  
Suite 379  
400 N. Capitol, NW  
Washington, DC 20001

Dr. W. Steve Sellman  
OASD(MR&L)  
2B269 The Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20301

Dr. Sylvia A. S. Shafto  
OERI  
555 New Jersey Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20208

Dr. T. B. Sheridan  
Dept. of Mechanical Engineering  
MIT  
Cambridge, MA 02139

Dr. Ben Shneiderman  
Dept. of Computer Science  
University of Maryland  
College Park, MD 20742

Dr. Ted Shortliffe  
Computer Science Department  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Lee Shulman  
Stanford University  
1040 Cathcart Way  
Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Randall Shumaker  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Code 7510  
4555 Overlook Avenue, S.W.  
Washington, DC 20375-5000

Dr. Robert S. Siegler  
Carnegie-Mellon University  
Department of Psychology  
Schenley Park  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Herbert A. Simon  
Department of Psychology  
Carnegie-Mellon University  
Schenley Park  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

LTCOL Robert Simpson  
Defense Advanced Research  
Projects Administration  
1400 Wilson Blvd.  
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. Zita M Simutis  
Instructional Technology  
Systems Area  
ARI  
5001 Eisenhower Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. N. Wallace Sinaiko  
Manpower Research  
and Advisory Services  
Smithsonian Institution  
801 North Pitt Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Dr. Derek Sleeman  
Stanford University  
School of Education  
Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Charles F. Smith  
North Carolina State University  
Department of Statistics  
Raleigh, NC 27695-8703

Dr. Edward E. Smith  
Bolt Beranek & Newman, Inc.  
50 Moulton Street  
Cambridge, MA 02138

Dr. Linda B. Smith  
Department of Psychology  
Indiana University  
Bloomington, IN 47405

Dr. Alfred F. Smode  
Senior Scientist  
Code 07A  
Naval Training Systems Center  
Orlando, FL 32813

Dr. Richard E. Snow  
Department of Psychology  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94306

Dr. Elliot Soloway  
Yale University  
Computer Science Department  
P.O. Box 2158  
New Haven, CT 06520

Dr. Richard Sorensen  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Kathryn T. Spoehr  
Brown University  
Department of Psychology  
Providence, RI 02912

James J. Staszewski  
Research Associate  
Carnegie-Mellon University  
Department of Psychology  
Schenley Park  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Marian Stearns  
SRI International  
333 Ravenswood Ave.  
Room B-5324  
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Dr. Robert Sternberg  
Department of Psychology  
Yale University  
Box 11A, Yale Station  
New Haven, CT 06520

Dr. Albert Stevens  
Bolt Beranek & Newman, Inc.  
10 Moulton St.  
Cambridge, MA 02238

Dr. Paul J. Sticha  
Senior Staff Scientist  
Training Research Division  
HUMRRO  
1100 S. Washington  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Dr. Thomas Sticht  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. David Stone  
KAI Software, Inc.  
3420 East Shea Blvd.  
Suite 161  
Phoenix, AZ 85028

Cdr Michael Sunan, PD 303  
Naval Training Systems Center  
Code M31, Comptroller  
Orlando, FL 32813

Dr. Mariharan Swaminathan  
Laboratory of Psychometric &  
Evaluation Research  
School of Education  
University of Massachusetts  
Amherst, MA 01003

Mr. Brad Sympson  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. John Tangney  
AFOSR/NL  
Bolling AFB, DC 20332

Dr. Kikumi Tatsuoka  
CERL  
252 Engineering Research  
Laboratory  
Urbana, IL 61801

Dr. Martin M. Taylor  
DCIEM  
Box 2000  
Downsview, Ontario  
CANADA

Dr. Parry W. Thorndyke  
FMC Corporation  
Central Engineering Labs  
1185 Coleman Avenue, Box 580  
Santa Clara, CA 95052

Major Jack Thorpe  
DARPA  
1400 Wilson Blvd.  
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. Douglas Towne  
Behavioral Technology Labs  
1845 S. Elena Ave.  
Redondo Beach, CA 90277

Dr. Amos Tversky  
Stanford University  
Dept. of Psychology  
Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. James Tweeddale  
Technical Director  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Paul Twohig  
Army Research Institute  
5001 Eisenhower Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22333

Headquarters, U. S. Marine Corps  
Code MPI-20  
Washington, DC 20380

Dr. Kurt Van Lehn  
Department of Psychology  
Carnegie-Mellon University  
Schenley Park  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Beth Warren  
Bolt Beranek & Newman, Inc.  
50 Moulton Street  
Cambridge, MA 02138

Dr. David J. Weiss  
M660 Elliott Hall  
University of Minnesota  
75 E. River Road  
Minneapolis, MN 55455

Roger Weissinger-Baylor  
Department of Administrative  
Sciences  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93940

Dr. Donald Weitzman  
MITRE  
1020 Dolley Madison Blvd.  
McLean, VA 22102

Dr. Keith T. Wescourt  
FMC Corporation  
Central Engineering Labs  
1185 Coleman Ave., Box 580  
Santa Clara, CA 95052

Dr. Douglas Wetzel  
Code 12  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Barbara White  
Bolt Beranek & Newman, Inc.  
10 Moulton Street  
Cambridge, MA 02238

LCDR Cory deGroot Whitehead  
Chief of Naval Operations  
OP-112G1  
Washington, DC 20370-2000

Dr. Michael Williams  
IntelliCorp  
1975 El Camino Real West  
Mountain View, CA 94040-2216

Dr. Hilda Wing  
Army Research Institute  
5001 Eisenhower Ave.  
Alexandria, VA 22333

A. E. Winterbauer  
Research Associate  
Electronics Division  
Denver Research Institute  
University Park  
Denver, CO 80208-0454

Dr. Robert A. Wisher  
U.S. Army Institute for the  
Behavioral and Social Sciences  
5001 Eisenhower Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22333

Dr. Martin F. Wiskoff  
Navy Personnel R & D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Merlin C. Wittrock  
Graduate School of Education  
UCLA  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Mr. John H. Wolfe  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Wallace Wulfack, III  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152-6800

Dr. Joe Yasatuke  
AFMRL/LRT  
Lowry AFB, CO 80230

Dr. Masoud Yazdani  
Dept. of Computer Science  
University of Exeter  
Exeter EX4 4QL  
Devon, ENGLAND

Major Frank Yohannan, USMC  
Headquarters, Marine Corps  
(Code MPI-20)  
Washington, DC 20380

Mr. Carl York  
System Development Foundation  
161 Lytton Avenue  
Suite 210  
Palo Alto, CA 94301

Dr. Joseph L. Young  
Memory & Cognitive  
Processes  
National Science Foundation  
Washington, DC 20550

Dr. Steven Zornetzer  
Office of Naval Research  
Code 1140  
800 N. Quincy St.  
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Dr. Michael J. Zyda  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Code 52CK  
Monterey, CA 93943-5100